# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,229	02/25/2002	Hidekazu Sakagami	048369-0132	1662
22428 7590 02/26/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			RETTA, YEHDEGA	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	, 20 20007		3622	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/081,229	SAKAGAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yehdega Retta	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	ebruary 2002					
•— •	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—						
• • •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· ·						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
	/ <u> </u>					
,	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		×				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 225(2), 3/8/03	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, applicant claims a method, in the preamble, however in the body of the claim a server is recited. Under the statue, the claimed invention must fall into one of four recognized statutory classes on invention, namely, a process (or method), a machine (or system); an article of manufacture; or a composition of matter. Applicant is required to amend the claim in order to claim one of the statutory classes.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bandera et al. (US 6,332,127).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,229

Art Unit: 3622

Regarding claim 24, Bandera teaches user terminal comprising means for detecting it own position (see fig. 2), means for notification of the position to a marketing server (fig. 2, col. 4 lines 46-60); means for requesting information and means for receiving information form the server and displays the content on a display unit (see col. 6 line 43 to col. 7 line 40).

Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bandera Hendry et al. (US 6,647,269) or Boyd (6,484,148).

Regarding claim 25, Bandera, Hendry or Boyd teaches an analysis terminal (location tracking subsystem) (see fig. 1). Any computer is capable of requesting information, receive the information and display it.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bandera et al. (6,332,127) and further in view of Boyd (US 6,484,148).

Regarding claims 1-6, 9-23, 26-28, Bandera teaches a mobile marketing server (fig. 1 or fig. 6, (24)), capable of communication with a plurality of mobile user terminals (see col. 4 line 61 to col. 5 line 25), generating content for distribution to plurality of user terminal based on action log which includes a positional information, time and attribute of the user and a plurality of pre-established distribution rules; a position detection means; user terminal sends its characteristic identifier; where in the user terminal comprises a display unit; the server

Art Unit: 3622

comprising of position detecting means (see col. 7 line 55 to col. 9 line 46). Bandera does not teach generating content based on the attribute of the user, wherein the attribute includes age, gender and identification of the user, it is taught in Boyd (see col. 3 line 48 to col. 4 line 18, col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 15). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to user attribute or profile as taught in Boyd in Bandera's time and location specific to provide a targeted advertising to the user.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Bandera teaches the server managing an action log, including positions of the user terminal and time at which the user terminal is at said position (see col. 8 lines 52 to col. 9 line 19); the server analyzing a behavior of the user terminal based on the managed action log and sending the analysis result to analysis terminal (see fig. 6, lookup table 27).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (571) 272-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,229

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RETTAYEHDEGA PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5